

|

**Geophagic Practices  
and  
Geophagic Soil Nematode Content  
in  
Thabo Mofutsanyane District,  
South Africa**

**By  
Allison Perridge**

Dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
Magister Technologiae: Biomedical Technology,  
School of Health Technology,  
Faculty of Health and Environmental Sciences,  
Central University of Technology, Free State  
BLOEMFONTEIN  
DECEMBER 2010

## Declaration

---

### Declaration with regard to independent work:

I, Allison Perridge (student number 99 08161), do hereby declare that this research project submitted to the Central University of Technology, Free State, for the degree Magister Technologiae: Biomedical Technology is my own independent work. This research project was conducted at the Central University of Technology, Free State, under the supervision of Dr Dédé Olivier and co-supervised by Prof Annabel Fossey and Prof Georges Ekosse.

---

**Signature of student**  
**Allison Perridge**

---

**Date**

I certify that this statement is correct.

---

**Signature of supervisor**  
**Dr Dédé Olivier**

---

**Date**

## Acknowledgements

---

I would like to acknowledge and convey my sincerest appreciation to the following individuals for their assistance, support and contributions towards the completion of my dissertation:

- My Heavenly Father, Lord Jesus Christ and the Holy Spirit. You are my Rock and a lamp unto my feet. Psalm 121: *“<sup>1</sup>I lift up my eyes to the hills, where does my help come from? <sup>2</sup>My help comes from the LORD, the Maker of heaven and earth.”*
- Prof Annabel Fossey for her expert guidance, encouragement and mentorship and who was willing to devote so many hours of her precious time to this project. You are a true blessing and forever appreciated.
- Prof Georges Ekosse and Prof Linda de Jager for allowing me to join their research team.
- Dr Dédé Olivier who took me on in a late stage of my studies, after I found myself stranded without a main supervisor.
- Jack Mokoena who devoted his valuable time to help me identify geophagic mines and for sharing his knowledge on geophagia.

- My family for their encouragement and support, and the many hours they had to endure my incessant fretfulness – *Dankie julle*.
- Dr Mariette Marais and Henda Pretorius for introducing a completely new world of nematodes to me.

## Abstract

---

**Introduction:** Geophagia, generally referred to as earth-eating, occurs in many countries of the world, including African countries such as South Africa. A need was identified to characterize human geophagic habits and the microbiological content of geophagic clayey soil, including the presence of potentially pathogenic nematodes (geohelminths), in South Africa.

**Materials and methods:** Questionnaires were developed to generate data on human geophagia and included demography, socio-economics, indigenous knowledge, business aspects, mining and hygiene practices of vendors/geophagists. A total of 52 soil samples ( $n = 52$ ) were collected from the district of Thabo Mofutsanyane (Free State, South Africa), which comprised of *vendor*, *topsoil*, *excavated* and *control soil sample groups*. Nematode content were isolated using the Ammonium bicarbonate protocol, thereafter the nematodes were classified and population sizes determined for each sample. Soil colour classification was completed using the *Munsell Soil Color Charts*.

**Results and discussion:** The questionnaire revealed that geophagia in this region was practised mainly by women of child-bearing age because of the taste of the soil. Vendors sell geophagic clayey soil (known as *mobu*) collected from the mountain- and riversides for subsistence, generally costing one to two rand per bag. Hygiene and environmental conditions are considered when mining geophagic clayey soils. Whitish-perceived clayey soils are preferred by most of the geophagists. According to the *Munsell Soil Color* classification, Thabo Mofutsanyane geophagic soils ranged from

brownish, to greyish, to yellowish in colour. Vendor soils were mostly greyish, while topsoils, excavated soils and control soils were mostly brownish. No human pathogenic nematode ova or larvae were isolated from any of the samples, however 34 non-pathogenic nematode taxa representing five trophic levels were identified. These included mainly herbivores, but also fungivores, bacteriovores, omnivores and predators. Taxon richness (number of taxa) greatly varied in the soil sample groups with vendor soil  $n = 9$ , topsoil  $n = 24$ , excavated soil  $n = 16$  and control soil  $n = 14$ . *Tylenchus* sp., *Criconemoides sphaerocephalus* and *Ditylenchus* sp. were the only nematode taxa identified in all the different sample groups, with *Tylenchus* sp. notably more abundant overall. When the median nematode population sizes were compared between the geophagic soil samples, no significant difference ( $p \geq 0.05$ ) could be identified. In contrast, the median nematode population sizes for all samples differed significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) from the *control soil sample group*.

**Conclusion:** This study revealed that geophagia is probably not a vector for geohelminth infection in the district of Thabo Mofutsanyane, South Africa. However, the rich presence of non-pathogenic soil nematode communities in these brownish, greyish and yellowish geophagic soils may be useful as biological indicators of general soil health.

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page number</b>
Declaration	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Aims and objectives	3
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	
<b>Literature review</b>	
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Historical perspectives of geophagia in humans	6
2.3 Current practices of human geophagia	7
2.3.1 Geophagia in Africa	7

2.3.2	Aetiology of geophagia	8
2.3.3	Geophagic clays: Properties and preferences	10
2.3.4	Business traits of geophagia	15
2.4	Health benefits of human geophagia	16
2.5	Health risks of human geophagia	19
2.5.1	Introduction	19
2.5.2	Soil particles	19
2.5.3	Mineral nutrients	20
2.5.4	Potentially harmful elements (PHE's)	21
2.5.5	Fungi	22
2.5.6	Bacteria	22
2.5.7	Parasites	23
2.6	Nematodes	25
2.6.1	Introduction	25
2.6.2	Pathogenic nematode life cycle	26
2.6.3	Pathogenic nematode epidemiology	29
2.6.4	Pathogenic nematode clinical manifestations	31
2.7	Summary	35

## **CHAPTER 3**

### **Materials and methods**

3.1	General overview	36
3.2.	Geophagic study area	37



3.3.	Development and distribution of questionnaires	38
3.4.	Collection of soil samples	39
3.4.1	Introduction	39
3.4.2	Soil collection method	40
3.5.	Detection of the presence of soil nematodes	45
3.5.1	Introduction	45
3.5.2	Identification of parasitic geohelminth ova	45
3.5.3	Identification of non-ova biological content	48
3.5.4	Extraction, classification and enumeration of nematode larvae	48
3.6.	Classification of soil types according to colour	50
3.7.	Statistical analysis	51

## **CHAPTER 4**

### **Questionnaire results**

4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Data from questionnaire: Human geophagia	53
4.2.1	Human aspects of geophagia: Vendors	54
4.2.2	Business aspects of geophagia	54
4.2.3	Human aspects of geophagia: Customers	56
4.2.4	Indigenous knowledge of geophagia	57
4.3	Data from questionnaire: Mining and hygiene practices	60

## **CHAPTER 5**

### **Soil characterization and soil nematode content**

5.1	Introduction	63
5.2	Characterization of soil samples	63
5.2.1	Vendor soils	63
5.2.2	Mining site soils	66
5.3	Soil sample colour	70
5.3.1	Vendor soil sample group	71
5.3.2	Topsoil sample group	72
5.3.3	Excavated soil sample group	73
5.3.4	Control soil sample group	75
5.3.5	Comparison between the different soil sample groups	75
5.4	Biological material in soil samples	76
5.5	Fungal structures	78
5.6	Nematode larvae	80
5.6.1	Nematode larvae content	81
5.6.2	Nematode taxa content	83
5.6.3	Comparison of soil sample groups	90

## **CHAPTER 6**

### **Discussion and Conclusions**

6.1	Introduction	94
-----	--------------	----

6.2	General geophagic practices	95
6.3	Biological content	99
6.4	Conclusion	103
References		105
Appendices		122
Appendix A: Recipes		123
Appendix B: Questionnaire (Human geophagia)		124
Appendix C1: Data collection sheet (Vendors)		131
Appendix C2: Data collection sheet (Mines)		132

## List of Tables

---

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page number</b>
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Soil sample collection, origin, sites and description.	41
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Demographic, socio-economic and cultural aspects of geophagic vendors.	55
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Importance/size of business in selling soil/clay.	56
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Demographic, socio-economic and cultural aspects of geophagic customers.	57
<b>Table 4.4</b>	Vendors' indigenous knowledge on type of geophagic material collected, processed and sold.	58
<b>Table 4.5</b>	Objectives and questions of the mining and hygiene practices questionnaire.	60
<b>Table 4.6</b>	Vendor mining and hygiene practices.	62
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Characterization of vendor soils.	65
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Geographic coordinates of the mining sites within the Thabo Mofutsanyane District Municipality used for sample collection.	67
<b>Table 5.3</b>	Description of seventeen mining sites.	69
<b>Table 5.4</b>	Mining sites near footpaths and/or public roads.	70
<b>Table 5.5</b>	Soil colours of the <i>vendor soil sample group</i> .	72
<b>Table 5.6</b>	Soil colours of the <i>topsoil sample group</i> .	73

<b>Table 5.7</b>	Soil colours of the <i>excavated soil sample group</i> .	74
<b>Table 5.8</b>	Soil colours of the <i>control soil sample group</i> .	75
<b>Table 5.9</b>	Statistical comparison between soil colours of soil sample group pairs.	76
<b>Table 5.10</b>	Prevalence of fungal structures in soil samples.	79
<b>Table 5.11</b>	Prevalence of nematode larvae in soil sample groups.	81
<b>Table 5.12</b>	Statistical comparison of nematode larval content.	83
<b>Table 5.13</b>	Nematode taxa present in the <i>vendor soil sample group</i> .	84
<b>Table 5.14</b>	Nematode taxa present in the <i>topsoil sample group</i> .	85
<b>Table 5.15</b>	Nematode taxa present in the <i>excavated soil sample group</i> .	88
<b>Table 5.16</b>	Nematode taxa present in the <i>control soil sample group</i> .	90
<b>Table 5.17</b>	Comparison between nematode taxon richness, population enumeration and trophic levels of different soil sample groups.	92
<b>Table 5.18</b>	Summary statistics of nematode larvae of all four soil sample groups.	92

## List of Figures

---

<b>Figure</b>		<b>Page number</b>
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	Life cycles of pathogenic nematodes (geohelminths).	27
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	Locations of Clarens and Phuthaditjhaba in South Africa.	37
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Mining and hygiene practices questionnaire.	61
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Setsing-Phuthaditjhaba local market.	64
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Geophagia mining sites in the Thabo Mofutsanyane District.	68
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Percentages of geophagic soil samples categorized into the main colour groups.	71
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	Examples of biological materials present in soil samples.	77
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Status of biological material in soil samples.	78
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	Examples of fungal structures present in soil samples.	79
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	Graphic representation of fungal structures present in soil sample groups.	80
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	Examples of unidentified nematode larvae present in soil samples.	81
<b>Figure 5.9</b>	Graphic representation of nematode larvae in different soil sample groups.	82
<b>Figure 5.10</b>	Summary of number of samples containing nematode larvae, soil colours and trophic levels.	93